arXiv:1608.07824v2 [hep-ph] 2 Jan 2017

Axial charges of the hidden-charm pentaquark states

Guang-Juan Wang[1],* Zhan-Wei Liu[2,3],† and Shi-Lin Zhu[1,4]‡
[1]*School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology and Center of High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*
[2]*School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*
[3]*Special Research Center for the Subatomic Structure of Matter (CSSM), Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia*
[4]*Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, China*
(Dated: January 4, 2017)

With the chiral quark model, we have calculated the axial charges of the pentaquark states with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^{\pm}$, $\frac{3}{2}^{\pm}$, and $\frac{5}{2}^{\pm}$. The P_c states with the same J^P quantum numbers but different color-spin-flavor configurations have very different axial charges, which encode important information on their underlying structures. For some of the $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^{\pm}$ or $\frac{5}{2}^{\pm}$ pentaquark states, their axial charges are much smaller than that of the proton.

PACS numbers: 14.20.Lq, 12.39.Fe

I. INTRODUCTION

The LHCb Collaboration observed two hidden-charm pentaquark states, $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$, in the $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi p K^-$ process last year [1]. The mass and width of the lower state are $4380 \pm 8 \pm 29$ and $205 \pm 18 \pm 86$ MeV, respectively, and its quantum number is probably $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$. The mass and width of the higher state are $4449.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.5$ and $39 \pm 5 \pm 19$ MeV, respectively, and its quantum number is probably $J^P = \frac{5}{2}^+$.

Before the discovery of the two P_c states, there existed extensive theoretical investigations of the possible hidden-charm pentaquark states [2–5]. Up to now, the possible interpretations of these two hidden-charm pentaquark states include the hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks composed of an anticharmed meson and a charmed baryon [2, 6–17], the dynamically generated states [3, 4, 18–21], the compact pentaquark states [5, 13, 22–35], and even a soliton [36]. Especially the higher state was suggested as a p-wave excitation of the broad s-wave state around 4450 MeV [9, 37, 38]. The $P_c(4450)$ state was also speculated to arise from the nonresonant signals from either the threshold effects or the triangle singularity [39–45]. An extensive review of the hidden-charm pentaquark states can be found in Ref. [38]. Recently, the LHCb Collaboration confirmed these two P_c states in the $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi p \pi^-$ process in Ref. [46], but once again the possibility that the narrow state would be due to a triangle singularity was raised in Ref. [45]. A revision of Refs. [39, 40, 45] has been done in Ref. [47], where it is shown that if the quantum numbers of this state are those preferred by the experiment, the triangle mechanism requires $\chi_{c1} p \to J/\psi p$ scattering with $\chi_{c1} p$ in P or D waves at threshold and the triangle diagram cannot explain the present peak.

All the above models lead to a fairly good description of the spectrum and decay behavior of these two hidden-charm pentaquarks. To probe the underlying structures of these states, Wang et al. [13] calculated their magnetic moments with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^{\pm}$, $\frac{3}{2}^{\pm}$, $\frac{5}{2}^{\pm}$, and $\frac{7}{2}^+$ in the molecular model, the diquark-triquark model, and the diquark-diquark-antiquark model, respectively. In fact, different color-flavor structures lead to very different magnetic moments of the hidden-charm pentaquarks. In other words, the magnetic moments may be a useful tool to distinguish various models.

The axial charge of the baryon state is also a fundamental observable. The axial charge of the nucleon was measured in the beta decay of the polarized neutrons [48, 49]. The axial charge of the P_c states can also be measured from their semileptonic decays in the future. Moreover, since the width of the $P_c(4380)$ state is quite large, its axial charge may be directly extracted from the process $P_c \to P_c + \pi \to J/\psi + p + \pi$ once the $P_c(4380)$ state is produced.

The mass and magnetic moment of the hidden-charm pentaquark state depend on both the light and the heavy quarks. In contrast, the axial charge of the P_c state is very sensitive to the color, spin, and isospin configurations of the light quarks inside the pentaquarks. Consequently, the axial charges provide a new independent probe of the inner structures of the P_c states.

The chiral symmetry and its spontaneous breaking play a pivotal role in the low-energy regime, which inspired various versions of the chiral quark model [50–54]. The long-range one-pion-exchange force is introduced to model the interaction between the light quarks, together with the confinement and the one-gluon-exchange potential [55–59]. The chiral quark models are widely

*Electronic address: wgj@pku.edu.cn
†Electronic address: zhan-wei.liu@adelaide.edu.au
‡Electronic address: zhusl@pku.edu.cn

applied to study the exotic states. Some possible molecular states are predicted in Ref. [59] using the chiral SU(3) quark model. In Refs. [16, 17], the chiral quark model was used to calculate the mass spectrum and decay width of the molecular pentaquark states. In this work, we calculate the axial charges of the pentaquark states.

This work is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we construct the spin-flavor wave functions of the P_c states under the SU(2) flavor symmetry. In Sec. III, we use the chiral quark model to derive the axial charges of the pentaquark states. In Sec. IV, we study the transition coupling for the $P_c' P_c \pi$ interaction where P_c' and P_c are different. We illustrate why the numerical values of the axial charges of the P_c states are generally smaller than that of the proton in Sec. V. We give a summary in Sec. VI. We also present the SU(3) invariant strong interaction Lagrangians of the P_c state and pseudoscalar mesons in the Appendix.

II. THE SPIN-FLAVOR WAVE FUNCTIONS OF THE P_c STATES

With the SU(2) flavor symmetry, we construct the wave functions of the P_c states as in Ref. [13]. There are five quarks, $\bar{c}cq_1q_2q_3$, in the P_c states. In the molecular, diquark-diquark-antiquark and diquark-triquark model, the q_2q_3 forms a quasibound diquark when they are in the antisymmetric $\bar{3}_c$ color representation. The space wave function of the diquark is symmetric. In the flavor space, $q_1q_2q_3$ may form the flavor representations

$$2_{q_1} \otimes (2_{q_2} \otimes 2_{q_3}) = 2_{q1} \otimes (1_f \oplus 3_f) = 2_{1f} \oplus 2_{2f} \oplus 4_f. \tag{1}$$

When the diquark q_2q_3 is in the antisymmetric 1_f representation, its isospin and spin are both 0 due to the fermi statistic, while the spin and isospin are both 1 when the diquark is in the symmetric 3_f representation. The isospin of the three light quarks in the 2_{1f} or 2_{2f} representation is $\frac{1}{2}$, while it is $\frac{3}{2}$ when they are in the 4_f representation. The explicit flavor wave functions of the three light quarks in different representations are listed in Table I. We can obtain the flavor wave functions of the P_c states by adding the heavy quark c and antiquark $\bar{c}$ into the flavor wave functions of the three light quarks according to the structures $(\bar{Q}q_1)(Qq_2q_3)$, $(Qq_1)(\bar{Q}q_2q_3)$, and $(Qq_1)(q_2q_3)\bar{Q}$ in the molecular model, the diquark-triquark model and the diquark-diquark-antiquark model, respectively.

TABLE I: The flavor wave functions of the three light quarks $q_2q_3q_1$ in the P_c states. The (I, I_3) denotes the isospin and its third component, respectively. $\{q_2q_3\}_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_2q_3 + q_2q_3)$. $[q_2q_3]_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_2q_3 - q_3q_2)$. The two quarks in $[]_-$ and $\{\}_+$ form the quasibound diquark, while the quark outside is from another cluster.

(I, I_3)	Wave function 2_{1f}	wave function 2_{2f}
$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$[ud]_-u$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\{uu\}_+d - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\{ud\}_+u$
$(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$	$[ud]_-d$	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\{ud\}_+d - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\{dd\}_+u$
(I, I_3)	Wave function 4_f	
$(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$	$\{uu\}_+u$	
$(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\{uu\}_+d + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\{ud\}_+u$	
$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\{ud\}_+d + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\{dd\}_+u$	
$(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})$	$\{dd\}_+d$	

Let us choose the construction of the flavor wave function of the molecular pentaquark state $(\bar{Q}q_1)(Qq_2q_3)$ as an example. As illustrated above, q_2q_3 may form a diquark in the antisymmetric $\bar{3}_c$ color representation with the spin and isospin both being 0. Now (Qq_2q_3) is a color singlet baryon corresponding to the baryon Λ_Q. $\bar{Q}q_1$ forms a heavy meson. The P_c state is a molecular state composed of $\bar{D}^{(*)}\Lambda_Q$ with $I = \frac{1}{2}$. When both the spin and the isospin of the diquark are 1, the pentaquark states are in the 2_{2f} and 4_f representations with $I = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$, respectively. The molecular P_c state is composed of $\bar{D}^{(*)}\Sigma_c^{(*)}$. For example, the recently observed $P_c(4380)$ state is supposed to be the $\bar{D}^{(*)}\Sigma_c^{(*)}$states in the 2_{2f} representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ [6, 10, 12, 22, 38]. Their flavor wave functions are

$$|P_c\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(c\{uu\}_+)(\bar{c}d) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}(c\{ud\}_+)(\bar{c}u) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Sigma_c^{(*)++}\bar{D}^{(*)-} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\Sigma_c^{(*)+}\bar{D}^{(*)0}. \tag{2}$$

After considering the spin wave functions of the P_c states at the same time, we obtain the spin-flavor wave functions. For example, if the $P_c(4380)$ state is a $\frac{3}{2}^-$ molecular state composed of $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$, its wave function reads

$$|P_c^{\frac{3}{2},+\frac{3}{2}}\rangle = \Big\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(c\{uu\}_+)(\bar{c}\bar{d}) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}(c\{ud\}_+)(\bar{c}\bar{u})\Big\}\otimes\Big\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|\downarrow_Q\uparrow_{q_2}\uparrow_{q_3}\rangle|\uparrow_{\bar{Q}}\uparrow_{q_1}\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\frac{(|\uparrow_Q\downarrow_{q_2}\uparrow_{q_3}\rangle + |\uparrow_Q\uparrow_{q_2}\downarrow_{q_3}\rangle)|\uparrow_{\bar{Q}}\uparrow_{q_1}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\Big\}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|\Sigma_c^{++}, J_3 = \frac{1}{2}\rangle|\bar{D}^{*-}, J_3 = 1\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}|\Sigma_c^{+}, J_3 = \frac{1}{2}\rangle|\bar{D}^{*0}, J_3 = 1\rangle, \tag{3}$$

where the superscripts represent the total angular momentum and its third component. The up and down arrows denote the spin of the quark up and down, respectively. The subscripts Q and q denote the heavy quark and the light quark, respectively.

The wave functions of the other P_c states with different isospins are similar. We select the $\frac{3}{2}^-$ pentaquark state with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ as an example,

$$|P_c\rangle = \Big\{\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}(c\{uu\}_+)(\bar{c}\bar{d}) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(\{ud\}_+)(\bar{c}\bar{u})\Big\}\otimes\Big\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|\downarrow_Q\uparrow_{q_2}\uparrow_{q_3}\rangle|\uparrow_{\bar{Q}}\uparrow_{q_1}\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\frac{(|\uparrow_Q\downarrow_{q_2}\uparrow_{q_3}\rangle + |\uparrow_Q\uparrow_{q_2}\downarrow_{q_3}\rangle)|\uparrow_{\bar{Q}}\uparrow_{q_1}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\Big\}. \tag{4}$$

III. THE AXIAL CHARGE OF THE PENTAQUARK STATE

A. The chiral quark model and the axial charge of the nucleon

The Lagrangian of the chiral quark model is

$$\mathscr{L}_{quark} = \frac{1}{2}g_1\bar{\psi}_q\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\partial_\mu\phi\psi_q \sim \frac{1}{2}g_1\bar{\psi}_q\sigma_z\partial_z\phi\psi_q = \frac{1}{2}\frac{g_1}{f_\pi}(\bar{u}\sigma_z\partial_z\pi_0 u - \bar{d}\sigma_z\partial_z\pi_0 d) + ..., \tag{5}$$

where g_1 is the coupling coefficient, and $f_\pi = 92$ MeV is the decay constant of the pion. $\psi_q(\bar{\psi}_q)$ is the quark (antiquark) field. u and d are the up and down quarks, respectively. In the calculation, the z axis is along the momentum of the meson π. σ_z is the Pauli matrix for the light quarks. ϕ represents the pseudoscalar meson field in the SU(2) flavor symmetry:

$$\phi = \frac{1}{f_\pi}\begin{pmatrix} \pi_0 & \sqrt{2}\pi^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\pi^- & -\pi_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

The $NN\pi_0$ Lagrangian is

$$\mathscr{L}_{eff}^p = \frac{1}{2}g_A\bar{N}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\partial_\mu\phi N \sim \frac{g_A}{f_\pi}\bar{N}\frac{\Sigma_{Nz}}{2}\partial_z\pi_0 N, \tag{7}$$

where g_A is the axial charge of the nucleon. $\frac{\Sigma_{Nz}}{2}$ is the z component of the proton spin operator.

$$\langle N, j_3 = +\frac{1}{2};\ \pi_0|\frac{1}{i}\frac{g_A}{f_\pi}\bar{N}\frac{\Sigma_{Nz}}{2}\partial_z\pi_0 N|N, j_3 = +\frac{1}{2}\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\frac{q_z}{f_\pi}g_A, \tag{8}$$

where q_z is the external momentum of π_0. At the quark level,

$$\langle N, j_3 = +\frac{1}{2};\ \pi_0|\frac{1}{i}\frac{1}{2}\frac{g_1}{f_\pi}(\bar{u}\sigma_z\partial_z\pi_0 u - \bar{d}\sigma_z\partial_z\pi_0 d)|N, j_3 = +\frac{1}{2}\rangle = \frac{5}{6}\frac{q_z}{f_\pi}g_1. \tag{9}$$

From Eq. (8) and (9), we obtain $g_1 = \frac{3}{5}g_A$. In the next section, we use the same formalism to derive the $P_cP_c\pi_0$ couplings in terms of g_1 and g_A.

B. The axial charge of a P_c state at the quark level

We choose the P_c states with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ in the 2_{2f} representation to illustrate the calculation. The wave functions of these pentaquark states are

$$
\begin{aligned}
|JJ_z\rangle_A &= \Big\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(c\{uu\}_+)(\bar{c}d) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}(c\{ud\}_+)(\bar{c}u)\Big\} \otimes \Big|\Big[(c(q_2q_3)_{s_{23}})_{s_t} \otimes (\bar{c}q_1)_{s_{1Q}}\Big]_s \otimes l\Big\rangle_J^{J_z},\\
|JJ_z\rangle_B &= \Big\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(\bar{c}\{uu\}_+)(cd) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}(\bar{c}\{ud\}_+)(cu)\Big\} \otimes \Big|\Big[(\bar{c}(q_2q_3)_{s_{23}})_{s_t} \otimes (cq_1)_{s_{1Q}}\Big]_s \otimes l\Big\rangle_J^{J_z},\\
|JJ_z\rangle_C &= \Big\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\bar{c}(\{uu\}_+)(cd) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\bar{c}(\{ud\}_+)(cu)\Big\} \otimes \Big|\Big[\{\bar{c} \otimes (q_2q_3)_{s_{23}}\}_{s_t} \otimes (cq_1)_{s_{1Q}}]_s \otimes l\Big\rangle_J^{J_z},
\end{aligned}
\tag{10}
$$

where we use the subscripts A, B and C to denote the states in the molecular, diquark-triquark, and diquark-diquark-antiquark models, respectively. s_{23} is the spin of the diquark q_2q_3. s_t is the sum of s_{23} and the spin of the heavy quark or antiquark. s_{1Q} is the spin of the diquark cq_1 or the meson $\bar{c}q_1$, which couples with s_t to form the total spin s. The sum of s and the orbital momentum l leads to the total angular momentum J. J_z is its third component.

For the above P_c state with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ in the molecular scheme,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle P_c^{J,J}\pi_0|\frac{1}{i}\frac{1}{2}\frac{g_1}{f_\pi}(\bar{u}\sigma_z\partial_z\pi_0 u - \bar{d}\sigma_z\partial_z\pi_0 d)|P_c'^{J',J}\rangle = \frac{g_1}{f_\pi}\Big\{\frac{2}{3}\langle(c\{uu\}_+)(\bar{c}d)|(\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d)|(c\{uu\}_+)(\bar{c}d)\rangle\\
&+\frac{1}{3}\langle(c\{ud\}_+)(\bar{c}u)|(\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d)|(c\{ud\}_+)(\bar{c}u)\rangle\Big\} \otimes \langle\Big[[(\bar{c}q_1)_{s_{1Q}} \otimes (c \otimes (q_2q_3)_{s_{23}})_{s_t}]_s \otimes l\Big]_J^J|\frac{1}{2}\sigma_z q_z|\Big[[(\bar{c}q_1)_{s'_{1Q}} \otimes (c \otimes (q_2q_3)_{s'_{23}})_{s'_t}]_{s'} \otimes l'\Big]_{J'}^J\rangle\\
&= \sum_{m,s_z,m',s'_z}\sum_{s_{1Q_z},s_{t_z},s'_{t_z}}\sum_{s_{\bar{Q}_z},s_{1_z},s'_{\bar{Q}_z},s'_{1_z}}\sum_{s_{Q_z},s_{23_z},s'_{Q_z},s'_{23_z}}\sum_{s_{2_z},s_{3_z},s'_{2_z},s'_{3_z}} \langle ss_z, lm|JJ\rangle^*\langle s's'_z, l'm'|J'J\rangle\langle s_ts_{t_z}, s_{1Q}s_{1Q_z}|ss_z\rangle^*\langle s'_ts'_{t_z}, s'_{1Q}s'_{1Q_z}|s's'_z\rangle\\
&\langle\frac{1}{2}s_{\bar{Q}_z}, \frac{1}{2}s_{1_z}|s_{1Q}s_{1Q_z}\rangle^*\langle\frac{1}{2}s'_{\bar{Q}_z}, \frac{1}{2}s_{1_z}|s'_{1Q}s'_{1Q_z}\rangle\langle\frac{1}{2}s_{Q_z}, s_{23}s_{23_z}|s_ts_{t_z}\rangle^*\langle\frac{1}{2}s'_{Q_z}, s'_{23}s'_{23_z}|s'_ts'_{t_z}\rangle\langle\frac{1}{2}s_{2_z}, \frac{1}{2}s_{3_z}|s_{23}s_{23_z}\rangle^*\langle\frac{1}{2}s'_{2_z}, \frac{1}{2}s'_{3_z}|s'_{23}s'_{23_z}\rangle\\
&\frac{g_1}{f_\pi}\langle s_{1_z}s_{2_z}s_{3_z}s_{Q_z}s_{\bar{Q}_z}|(-\frac{1}{3}s_{1_z} + \frac{2}{3}s_{2_z} + \frac{2}{3}s_{3_z})|s'_{1_z}s'_{2_z}s'_{3_z}s'_{Q_z}s'_{\bar{Q}_z}\rangle q_z\langle P_c(lm)|P'_c(l'm')\rangle\\
&= \sum_{m,s_z;s_{1Q_z},s_{t_z}}\sum_{s_{\bar{Q}_z}s_{1_z};s_{Q_z},s_{23_z}}\sum_{s_{2_z},s_{3_z}} g_1 W^{s_{1_z},s_{2_z},s_{3_z},s_{Q_z},s_{\bar{Q}_z}}_{s,s_z,l,m,s_{1Q},s_{t_z},s',l'}(-\frac{1}{3}s_{1_z} + \frac{2}{3}s_{2_z} + \frac{2}{3}s_{3_z})\frac{q_z}{f_\pi}\langle P_c(lm)|P'_c(l'm')\rangle\\
&= \sum_{m,s_z;s_{1Q_z},s_{t_z}}\sum_{s_{\bar{Q}_z}s_{1_z};s_{Q_z},s_{23_z}}\sum_{s_{2_z},s_{3_z}} g_1 W^{s_{1_z},s_{2_z},s_{3_z},s_{Q_z},s_{\bar{Q}_z}}_{s,s_z,l,m,s_{1Q},s_{t_z},s',l'} O_{123}\frac{q_z}{f_\pi},
\end{aligned}
\tag{11}
$$

where $J \leqslant J'$. W denotes the product of all the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. O_{123} is the spin operator. Its expression is given in Eqs. (12)-(15). The other notations are the same as those in Eq. (10). $P_c(lm)$ is the space wave function of the P_c state with the orbital quantum number (l, m). In the last line, we have used the heavy quark symmetry. The $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{D}^*$, Σ_c and Σ_c^* form the doublets respectively. Their space wave functions are the same only if the P_c states have the same (l, m) in the heavy quark limit. Their space wave functions with different (l, m) are orthogonal to each other. In the transition process, the space overlapping amplitude does not depend on m. For example, in the molecular model, the s-wave P_c states composed of $D^{(*)}\Sigma_c^{(*)}$ with the same isospin belong to one multiplet. Their space wave functions are the same. After exchanging the heavy quark and antiquark in Eq. (11), we obtain the equations for the diquark-triquark and diquark-diquark-antiquark states. For the pentaquark states with the other isospin factors, the O_{123} is different due to the different flavor wave functions in Eq. (11). For the P_c state in the 2_{1f} representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2})$,

$$
O_{123} = \pm s_{1_z}. \tag{12}
$$

For the P_c state in the 2_{2f} representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2})$,

$$
O_{123} = \pm(-\frac{1}{3}s_{1_z} + \frac{2}{3}s_{2_z} + \frac{2}{3}s_{3_z}). \tag{13}
$$

For the P_c state in the 4_f representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{3}{2}, \pm\frac{3}{2})$,

$$
O_{123} = \pm(s_{1_z} + s_{2_z} + s_{3_z}). \tag{14}
$$

For the P_c state in the 4_f representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{3}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2})$,

$$O_{123}^{I_3=\frac{1}{2}} = \pm\frac{1}{3}(s_{1_z} + s_{2_z} + s_{3_z}). \tag{15}$$

In Tables II, IV, and V, we list the results for the P_c states in the 2_{2f} flavor representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ in the molecular scheme. We can also obtain the analytical expressions for the states with the quantum numbers in the other two models through exchanging c and $\bar{c}$ in Eq. (11). We note that the pionic interactions only exist between the light quarks and do not depend on the heavy quark and the antiquark. If the corresponding states in the three different models have the same inner angular quantum numbers such as s_{23}, s_t, etc., their axial charges are the same. For instance, in Table II, the $\frac{1}{2}^-$ P_c state with the configuration $|^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}, 0^- \otimes \frac{1}{2}^+ \otimes 0^+\rangle$ represents an s-wave molecular state composed of $D\Sigma_c$ in the molecular model. In the diquark-triquark model, the similar configuration $|^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}, 0^+ \otimes \frac{1}{2}^- \otimes 0^+\rangle$ denotes the $\frac{1}{2}^-$ diquark-triquark state $|(cq_1)_0(\bar{c}(q_2q_3)_1)_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$. In the diquark-diquark-antiquark model, $|^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}, 0^+ \otimes \frac{1}{2}^- \otimes 0^+\rangle$ corresponds to the state $|(cq_1)_0 \otimes \{\bar{c} \otimes (q_2q_3)_1\}_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$. All these three states lead to the same axial charge. Similarly, the other results in Table II can also be interpreted as the axial charges of the corresponding states in the other two models.

When the P_c states are in the 2_{1f} flavor representation, the molecular pentaquark states are composed of $\bar{D}^{(*)}\Lambda_c$. However, there does not exist the pionic interaction between $\bar{D}^{(*)}$ and Λ_c in the $\bar{D}^{(*)}\Lambda_c$ system. Thus, we list the results for the pentaquark states in the diquark-triquark scheme in Table III. If we decompose the $\frac{1}{2}^-$ triquark in the third column into $\frac{1}{2}^-_{\bar{c}} \otimes 0^+_{(q_2q_3)}$, we obtain the axial charges in the diquark-diquark-antiquark scheme.

C. The axial charge of a P_c state at the hadron level

For the P_c states with the isospin $\frac{1}{2}$, the $P_cP_c\pi$ Lagrangians at the hadron level are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{eff} &= \frac{1}{2}g_{P_1}\bar{P}_c\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\partial_\mu\phi P_c \sim \frac{g_{P_1}}{f_\pi}\bar{P}_c\frac{\Sigma_z}{2}\partial_z\pi_0 P_c,\\
\mathscr{L}^{\frac{3}{2}}_{eff} &= \frac{1}{2}g_{P_3}\bar{P}^\nu_c\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\partial_\mu\phi P_{c\nu} \sim \frac{g_{P_3}}{f_\pi}\bar{P}^\nu_c\frac{\Sigma_z}{2}\partial_z\pi_0 P_{c\nu},\\
\mathscr{L}^{\frac{5}{2}}_{eff} &= \frac{1}{2}g_{P_5}\bar{P}^{\alpha\beta}_c\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\partial_\mu\phi P_{c\alpha\beta} \sim \frac{g_{P_5}}{f_\pi}\bar{P}^{\alpha\beta}_c\frac{\Sigma_z}{2}\partial_z\pi_0 P_{c\alpha\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where P_c, $P_{c\nu}$, and $P^{\alpha\beta}_c$ are the $\frac{1}{2}^\pm$, $\frac{3}{2}^\pm$, and $\frac{5}{2}^\pm$ pentaquark states, respectively. $g_{P_{1(3,5)}}$ are the coupling coefficients. $\frac{\Sigma_z}{2}$ is the spin operator of the P_c states.

For the P_c state with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0|\frac{1}{i}\frac{g_{P_1}}{f_\pi}\bar{P}_c\frac{\Sigma_z}{2}\partial_z\pi_0 P_c|P_c^{\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{q_z}{f_\pi}g_{P_1},\\
\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},+\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0|\frac{1}{i}\frac{g_{P_3}}{f_\pi}\bar{P}^\nu_c\frac{\Sigma_z}{2}\partial_z\pi_0 P_{c\nu}|P_c^{\frac{3}{2},+\frac{3}{2}}\rangle &= \frac{3}{2}\frac{q_z}{f_\pi}g_{P_3},\\
\langle P_c^{\frac{5}{2},+\frac{5}{2}}\pi_0|\frac{1}{i}\frac{g_{P_5}}{f_\pi}\bar{P}^{\alpha\beta}_c\frac{\Sigma_z}{2}\partial_z\pi_0 P_{c\alpha\beta}|P_c^{\frac{5}{2},+\frac{5}{2}}\rangle &= \frac{5}{2}\frac{q_z}{f_\pi}g_{P_5},
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where the superscripts represent the total spin and its third component, respectively. When the P_c states are in the 2_{2f} and 2_{1f} representations, we list the $P_cP_c\pi_0$ coupling constants in Tables II and III, respectively.

The P_c states with the same angular momentum may have very different axial charges if their parities and internal configurations are different. For example, the $P_c(^4S_{\frac{3}{2}})$ states may be composed of $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ or $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$, while their axial charges are 0.34 and 0.14, respectively. The $\frac{1}{2}^+$ P_c state may be composed of $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$. The spin of $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$ is either $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2}$. The pentaquark states have the corresponding configurations $^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}$, respectively. Their axial charges are -0.22 and 0.36, respectively.

IV. THE TRANSITION PIONIC COUPLING FOR THE $P'_cP_c\pi$ INTERACTION

In this section, we study the transition pionic couplings between two different pentaquark states when they have the same parity. For the process $P'_c(J^P = \frac{1}{2}^\pm) \to P_c(J^P = \frac{1}{2}^\pm)\pi$, $P'_c(J^P = \frac{3}{2}^\pm) \to P_c(J^P = \frac{3}{2}^\pm)\pi$ and $P'_c(J^P = \frac{5}{2}^\pm) \to P_c(J^P = \frac{5}{2}^\pm)\pi$, the Lagrangian is the same as that in Eq. (16) with P_c replaced by P'_c. We use g_{11}, g_{33}, and g_{55} to denote the $P'_cP_c\pi_0$ coupling when

TABLE II: The axial charges of the molecular pentaquark states at the quark level and the hadron level. The P_c states are in the 2_{2f} representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. The P_c states are denoted as $|P_c^{J,J_3}\rangle$. In the third column, we denote the angular momentum coupling according to meson ⊗ baryon ⊗ orbital excitation. We also list the constituent meson and baryon in the parentheses.

J^P		Molecular state	$\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\|\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\|P_c^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\|\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\|P_c^{+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle/g_{P_1}$	g_{P_1}/g_A	g_{P_1}
$\frac{1}{2}^-$	$^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$0^-\otimes\frac{1}{2}^+\otimes 0^+(\bar{D}\Sigma_c)$	$\frac{4}{9}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{8}{15}$	0.68
		$1^-\otimes\frac{1}{2}^+\otimes 0^+(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c)$	$-\frac{7}{27}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{14}{45}$	-0.39
		$1^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+\otimes 0^+(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{23}{54}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{23}{45}$	0.65
$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$0^-\otimes\frac{1}{2}^+\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}\Sigma_c)$	$-\frac{4}{27}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{8}{45}$	-0.23
	$^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{1}{2}^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c)$	$\frac{7}{81}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{14}{135}$	0.13
	$^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{1}{2}^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c)$	$\frac{25}{162}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{5}{27}$	0.23
	$^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(0^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{10}{27}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{4}{9}$	0.56
	$^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*)$	$-\frac{23}{162}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{23}{135}$	-0.22
	$^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{19}{81}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{38}{135}$	0.36
J^P		Molecular state	$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\|\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\|P_c^{\frac{3}{2},+\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},+\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\|\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\|P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g_{P_3}$	g_{P_3}/g_A	g_{P_3}
$\frac{3}{2}^-$	$^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$0^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+\otimes 0^+(\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{4}{15}$	0.34
		$1^-\otimes\frac{1}{2}^+\otimes 0^+(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c)$	$\frac{5}{18}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{1}{9}$	0.14
		$1^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+\otimes 0^+(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{19}{45}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{38}{225}$	0.21
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$0^-\otimes\frac{1}{2}^+\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}\Sigma_c)$	$\frac{4}{9}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{45}$	0.23
	$^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{1}{2}^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c)$	$-\frac{7}{27}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{14}{135}$	-0.13
	$^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{1}{2}^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c)$	$\frac{11}{54}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{11}{135}$	0.10
	$^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$(0^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{22}{45}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{44}{225}$	0.25
	$^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{23}{54}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{23}{135}$	0.22
	$^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{209}{675}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{418}{3375}$	0.15
	$^6P_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+)_{\frac{5}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{21}{50}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{21}{125}$	0.22
J^P		Molecular state	$\langle P_c^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{5}{2}}\pi_0\|\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\|P_c^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{5}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{5}{2},+\frac{5}{2}}\pi_0\|\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\|P_c^{\frac{5}{2},+\frac{5}{2}}\rangle/g_{P_5}$	g_{P_5}/g_A	g_{P_5}
$\frac{5}{2}^-$	$^6S_{\frac{5}{2}}$	$1^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+\otimes 0^+(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{3}{25}$	0.15
$\frac{5}{2}^+$	$^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}$	$1^-\otimes\frac{1}{2}^+\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c)$	$\frac{5}{18}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{1}{15}$	0.08
	$^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}$	$0^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{4}{25}$	0.20
	$^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{19}{45}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{38}{375}$	0.13
	$^6P_{\frac{5}{2}}$	$(1^-\otimes\frac{3}{2}^+)_{\frac{5}{2}}\otimes 1^-(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*)$	$\frac{31}{70}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{93}{875}$	0.13

TABLE III: The axial charges of the pentaquark when they belong to the 2_{1f} representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. In the third column, we use $cq_1 \otimes (\bar{c}(q_2q_3)_0)\otimes$ orbital excitation to denote the angular momentum configurations.

J^P			$\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c^{+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},+\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c^{+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle/g_{P_1}$	g_{P_1}/g_A	g_{P_1}
$\frac{1}{2}^-$	$^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$0^+\otimes\frac{1}{2}^-\otimes 0^+$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
		$1^+\otimes\frac{1}{2}^-\otimes 0^+$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	0.51
$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$0^+\otimes\frac{1}{2}^-\otimes 1^-$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
	$^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(1^+\otimes\frac{1}{2}^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}\otimes 1^-$	-$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{2}{15}$	-0.17
	$^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(1^+\otimes\frac{1}{2}^-)_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1^-$	$\frac{5}{18}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0.42
J^P			$\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},+\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c^{+\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},+\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c^{+\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g_{P_3}$	g_{P_3}/g_A	g_{P_3}
$\frac{3}{2}^-$		$1^+\otimes\frac{1}{2}^-\otimes 0^+$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0.25
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$0^+\otimes\frac{1}{2}^-\otimes 1^-$	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0
	$^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$(1^+\otimes\frac{1}{2}^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}\otimes 1^-(D^*\Sigma_c)$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{2}{15}$	0.17
	$^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}$	$(1^+\otimes\frac{1}{2}^-)_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1^-(D^*\Sigma_c)$	$\frac{11}{30}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{11}{75}$	0.19
J^P			$\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},+\frac{5}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c^{+\frac{1}{2},\frac{5}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},+\frac{5}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c^{+\frac{1}{2},\frac{5}{2}}\rangle/g_{P_5}$	g_{P_5}/g_A	g_{P_5}
$\frac{5}{2}^+$	$^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}$	$1^+\otimes\frac{1}{2}^-\otimes 1^-$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{3}{25}$	0.15

the parities of P_c' and P_c are negative. We use g'_{11}, g'_{33}, and g'_{55} to denote the $P_c'P_c\pi_0$ coupling when the parities of P_c' and P_c are positive.

In Table IV, we list the results. When the two pentaquark states have the same J^P but different configurations, these transition pionic couplings are smaller than 0.19 except that for $P_c'(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, ^4P_{\frac{1}{2}})P_c(\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, ^2P_{\frac{1}{2}})\pi_0$. Some of them are tiny, which indicates the interaction between the P_c states with the same J^P but different configurations is very weak. Some pentaquark states can not interact with each other through the pseudoscalar field and their couplings vanish. The reasons are as follows. For the states $\bar{D}\Sigma_c$ and $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*$, there should be at least two spin operators at the quark level to flip the spin of both the heavy meson and the baryon. However, there is only one spin operator σ_z in the Lagrangian in Eq. (5). Thus, their couplings are zero. The same conclusion holds for the $\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c$ and $\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*$ transitions. Moreover, the single spin operator σ_z cannot mix the states $P_c'(^2L_J)$ and $P_c(^6L_J)$ through the pion exchange.

The Lagrangians for $P_c'(J^P = \frac{3}{2}^\pm) \to P_c(J^P = \frac{1}{2}^\pm)\pi_0$ and $P_c'(J^P = \frac{5}{2}^\pm) \to P_c(J^P = \frac{3}{2}^\pm)\pi_0$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_{eff}^{\frac{3}{2}\to\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2}g_{23}\bar{P}_c\partial_\mu\phi P_c'^\mu \sim \frac{1}{2}\frac{g_{23}}{f_\pi}\bar{P}_c\partial^\mu\pi_0 P'_{c\mu}\\ \mathscr{L}_{eff}^{\frac{5}{2}\to\frac{3}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2}g_{35}\bar{P}_c^\nu\partial^\mu\phi P'_{c\mu\nu} \sim \frac{1}{2}\frac{g_{35}}{f_\pi}\bar{P}_c^\nu\partial^\mu\pi_0 P'_{c\mu\nu},\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where g_{23} and g_{35} denote the $P_c'(\frac{3}{2}^-)P_c(\frac{1}{2}^-)\pi_0$ and $P_c'(\frac{5}{2}^-)P_c(\frac{3}{2}^-)\pi_0$ coupling constants respectively. We use the g'_{23} and g'_{35} to represent the couplings when the two pentaquark states have positive parities. When these pentaquark states are in the 2_{2f} representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}^{\frac{3}{2}\to\frac{1}{2}}\vert P_c'^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\frac{q_z}{f_\pi}g_{23},\\ \langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}^{\frac{5}{2}\to\frac{3}{2}}\vert P_c'^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}\frac{q_z}{f_\pi}g_{35}.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We collect the numerical results in Table V. Several coupling constants vanish due to the same mechanism as in Table IV. When the pentaquark states have different J, the numerical results for $P_c'P_c\pi_0$ are lager than those when they have the same J^P but different configurations.

TABLE IV: The transition coupling constants of the molecular pentaquark states at the quark level and the hadron level. The two pentaquark states are in the 2_{2f} representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. The P_c' and P_c states have the same J^P quantum numbers but different configurations. The superscripts denote the total angular momentum and its third component.

		$\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c'^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c'^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle/g_{22}$	g_{22}/g_A	g_{22}
$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$\langle\bar{D}\Sigma_c,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{1}{18\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{15\sqrt{3}}$	−0.05
	$\langle\bar{D}\Sigma_c,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{1}{9}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{2}{15}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$	−0.14
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{19\sqrt{2}}{81}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{38\sqrt{2}}{135}$	−0.50
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{4\sqrt{2}}{81}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{8\sqrt{2}}{135}$	−0.11
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{4}{81}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{8}{135}$	−0.08
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{4\sqrt{5}}{81}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{8}{27\sqrt{5}}$	0.17
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{18}\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{15}}$	0.11
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{9\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2}{15\sqrt{3}}$	0.10
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0
		$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c'^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c'^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g_{33}$	g_{33}/g_A	g_{33}
$\frac{3}{2}^-$	$\langle\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{15}}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{1}{5\sqrt{15}}$	0.07
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{4}{9\sqrt{5}}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{8}{45\sqrt{5}}$	0.10
		$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c'^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c'^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\rangle/g'_{33}$	g'_{33}/g_A	g'_{33}
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{19}{27\sqrt{5}}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{38}{135\sqrt{5}}$	-0.16
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{11}{27\sqrt{2}}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{11\sqrt{2}}{135}$	-0.15
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^6P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{11}{25\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{11}{125}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$	-0.09
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^6P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^6P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{1}{5\sqrt{10}}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{25}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	-0.03
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{44}{135\sqrt{5}}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{88}{675\sqrt{5}}$	0.07
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{11}{30\sqrt{15}}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{11}{75\sqrt{15}}$	0.05
		$\langle P_c^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{5}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c'^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{5}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{5}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c'^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{5}{2}}\pi_0\rangle/g_{55}$	g_{55}	g_{55}
$\frac{5}{2}^+$	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^6P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{11}{15\sqrt{21}}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$-\frac{22}{125\sqrt{21}}$	-0.05
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^6P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{4}{3\sqrt{105}}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{8}{25\sqrt{105}}$	0.04
	$\langle\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{4}{9\sqrt{5}}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{8}{75\sqrt{5}}$	0.06
	$\langle\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^6P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{1}{3\sqrt{35}}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$-\frac{2}{25\sqrt{35}}$	-0.02
	$\langle\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{15}}$	$\frac{5}{2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{25\sqrt{5}}$	0.04
	$\langle\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*,^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c,^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{5}{2}$	0	0

TABLE V: The transition coupling constants of the molecular pentaquark states at the quark level and the hadron level. The two pentaquark states are in the 2_{2f} representation with $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. The P_c' and P_c have different J^P quantum numbers. The superscripts denote the total angular momentum and its third component.

		$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c'^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c'^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle/g_{23}$	g_{23}/g_A	g_{23}
$\frac{1}{2}^- \to \frac{3}{2}^-$	$\langle \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*, {}^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c, {}^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{9}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$-\frac{4}{5\sqrt{3}}$	-0.59
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c, {}^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$-\frac{1}{5}$	-0.25
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c, {}^2S_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	0	0
		$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c'^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c'^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}\rangle/g'_{23}$	g'_{23}/g_A	g'_{23}
$\frac{1}{2}^+ \to \frac{3}{2}^+$	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{9}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{2}{15}$	0.17
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{9}\sqrt{\frac{5}{6}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{5}}$	0.19
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{14\sqrt{2}}{81}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$-\frac{28}{45\sqrt{3}}$	-0.46
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{19\sqrt{5}}{81}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{19}{9\sqrt{15}}$	0.69
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{5\sqrt{5}}{81}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{1}{9}\sqrt{\frac{10}{3}}$	0.26
	$\langle \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{4\sqrt{5}}{27}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{4}{3}\sqrt{\frac{2}{15}}$	0.62
	$\langle \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	0	0
	$\langle \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	0	0
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{16}{81}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{16}{45}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$	0.37
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{81}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$-\frac{2}{45\sqrt{3}}$	-0.03
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{23\sqrt{2}}{81}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{46}{45\sqrt{3}}$	0.75
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^2P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{55}{162}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{11}{9\sqrt{6}}$	0.63
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{1}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{38}{81\sqrt{5}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{38}{45}\sqrt{\frac{2}{15}}$	0.39
		$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c'^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c'^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g_{35}$	g_{35}/g_A	g'_{35}
$\frac{5}{2}^- \to \frac{3}{2}^-$	$\langle \bar{D}\Sigma_c^*, {}^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^6S_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{10}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0.25
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^6S_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\frac{2}{15}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$-\frac{4}{5\sqrt{3}}$	-0.59
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^6S_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{11}{15\sqrt{6}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{11}{5\sqrt{15}}$	0.72
		$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{quark}\vert P_c'^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g_1$	$\langle P_c^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\pi_0\vert\frac{1}{i}\mathscr{L}_{eff}\vert P_c'^{\frac{5}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\rangle/g'_{35}$	g'_{35}/g_A	g'_{35}
$\frac{3}{2}^+ \to \frac{5}{2}^+$	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{10}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0.25
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	0	0
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^6P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	0	0
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{11}{18\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{11}{3\sqrt{30}}$	0.85
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^6P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{11}{75}\sqrt{\frac{7}{2}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{11}{25}\sqrt{\frac{7}{5}}$	0.66
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{38}{225}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{75}{76\sqrt{15}}$	0.33
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^6P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^6P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{2}{25}\sqrt{\frac{7}{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{2}{25}\sqrt{\frac{42}{5}}$	0.29
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^6P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	0	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	0	0
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{2}{9}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$-\frac{4}{3\sqrt{15}}$	-0.44
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^6P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$-\frac{2}{15}\sqrt{\frac{14}{5}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$-\frac{4\sqrt{7}}{25}$	-0.54
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*, {}^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{8}{45}\sqrt{\frac{2}{15}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{16}{75\sqrt{3}}$	0.16
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{1}{9}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{2}{3\sqrt{15}}$	0.22
	$\langle \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^2P_{\frac{3}{2}}\vert\mathscr{L}\vert\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c, {}^4P_{\frac{5}{2}}\rangle$	$\frac{19}{9\sqrt{30}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$	$\frac{19}{15\sqrt{3}}$	0.93

V. THE SUPPRESSION OF THE AXIAL CHARGES OF SOME HIDDEN-CHARM PENTAQUARK STATES

We notice that the axial charges of the hidden-charm pentaquarks are generally smaller than the axial charge of the proton. For comparison, let us take the proton as an example. The color wave function of the three quarks within the proton are antisymmetric. The spin and flavor wave functions of the three light quarks are totally symmetric, which may ensure the contribution to the axial charge from three quarks and different components in the spin and flavor wave functions are constructive. In contrast, the spin and the flavor wave functions of q_1 and q_2q_3 are not totally symmetric. The interference between the contributions from different components may lead to a small axial charge. In the following, we pick out the component in the spin and flavor functions of the P_c states where the three quarks form a color singlet s-wave octet or decuplet baryon. Then we calculate their axial charges and compare with those of the usual P_c states.

We first decompose the molecular P_c states as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\Big[(c^a(q_2^bq_3^f)_{s_{23}})_{s_t}\otimes(\bar{c}^dq_1^e)_{s_{1Q}}\Big]_s\otimes l\Big\rangle_J^{J_z}\delta_{de}\epsilon_{abf}\\
&=\sum_{s_d',s_t'}\hat{s}_t\hat{s}_{1Q}\hat{s}_d'\hat{s}_t'\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}_c & s_{23} & s_t\\ \frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}} & \frac{1}{2}_{q_1} & s_{1Q}\\ s_d' & s_t' & s\end{pmatrix}\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_{s_d'}\otimes\{(q_2^bq_3^f)_{s_{23}}\otimes q_1^e\}_{s_t'}\Big]_s\otimes l\Big\rangle_J^{J_z}\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\delta_{da}\epsilon_{ebf}+\delta_{db}\epsilon_{aef}+\delta_{df}\epsilon_{abe}),
\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

where a, b, d, e and f are the color index, s_d' is the spin of the charmonium, s_t' is the total spin of the three light quarks. $\hat{s}_t=\sqrt{2s_t+1}$. If the $q_1q_2q_3$ form a color singlet s-wave baryon, their isospin and spin are either $\frac{3}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$.

Let us take the $\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{5}{2}^-$ molecular states with the isospin $(I,I_3)=(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ as an example:

$$|^6S_{\frac{5}{2}},\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*\rangle=\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_1\otimes\{(q_2^bq_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{3}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{5}{2}}\otimes 0\Big\rangle_J^{J_z}\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\delta_{da}\epsilon_{ebf}+\delta_{db}\epsilon_{aef}+\delta_{df}\epsilon_{abe}),\tag{21}$$

The three quarks $q_1q_2q_3$ in this $\frac{5}{2}^-$ pentaquark state can not form an s-wave baryon because their total spin is $\frac{3}{2}$ and their total isospin is $\frac{1}{2}$. Its axial charge is 0.15.

For the $|^4S_{\frac{3}{2}},D\Sigma_c^*\rangle$ state,

$$\begin{aligned}
|^4S_{\frac{3}{2}},\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*\rangle=&\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_1\otimes\{(q_2^b\otimes q_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes l\Big\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_0\otimes\{(q_2^b\otimes q_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{3}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes l\Big\rangle\\
&+\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{3}}\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_1\otimes\{(q_2^b\otimes q_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{3}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes l\Big\rangle\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\delta_{da}\epsilon_{ebf}+\delta_{db}\epsilon_{afe}+\delta_{df}\epsilon_{abe})
\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

The component in which the three light quarks $q_1q_2q_3$ form an s-wave baryon reads

$$P_{cs}=\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_1\otimes\{(q_2^b\otimes q_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes l\Big\rangle\delta_{da}\epsilon_{ebf}.\tag{23}$$

The spin and flavor wave function of P_{cs} is

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{cs}=&\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(u\uparrow u\uparrow d\downarrow+u\uparrow d\downarrow u\uparrow+d\downarrow u\uparrow u\uparrow\\
&-\frac{1}{2}u\downarrow d\uparrow u\uparrow-\frac{1}{2}d\uparrow u\downarrow u\uparrow-\frac{1}{2}u\uparrow u\downarrow d\uparrow-\frac{1}{2}u\downarrow u\uparrow d\uparrow-\frac{1}{2}u\uparrow d\uparrow u\downarrow-\frac{1}{2}d\uparrow u\uparrow u\downarrow)\cdot(c\uparrow\bar{c}\uparrow).
\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

The spin-flavor wave function of the light quarks in P_{cs} are the same as that of the proton. The three quarks are totally symmetric in the spin-flavor space. The pionic couplings of the P_{cs} are always the same at the quark level. The $P_{cs}P_{cs}\pi_0$ coupling is 0.63, which is clearly not suppressed. The possibility of the P_{cs} component is $\frac{1}{9}$ in the $\frac{3}{2}^-$ molecular state $|\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*\rangle$. The axial charge of the $\frac{3}{2}^-$ state $|\bar{D}\Sigma_c^*\rangle$ is 0.34, while the $P_cP_c\pi_0$ coupling is 0.51.

The $\frac{3}{2}^-$ pentaquark state contains two other possible configurations $|\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c\rangle$ and $|\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*\rangle$. They can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
|^4S_{\frac{3}{2}},\bar{D}^*\Sigma_c\rangle=&\Big(-\frac{1}{3}\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_1\otimes\{(q_2^b\otimes q_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes l\Big\rangle+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_0\otimes\{(q_2^b\otimes q_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{3}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes l\Big\rangle\\
&+\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_1\otimes\{(q_2^b\otimes q_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{3}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes l\Big\rangle\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\delta_{da}\epsilon_{ebf}+\delta_{db}\epsilon_{afe}+\delta_{df}\epsilon_{abe}).
\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

$$|^4S_{\frac{3}{2}}, \bar{D}^*\Sigma_c^*\rangle = \Big(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_1\otimes\{(q_2^b\otimes q_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes l\Big\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}}\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_0\otimes\{(q_2^b\otimes q_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{3}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes l\Big\rangle$$
$$-\frac{1}{6}\Big|\Big[(\frac{1}{2}_{c^a}\otimes\frac{1}{2}_{\bar{c}^d})_1\otimes\{(q_2^b\otimes q_3^f)_1\otimes q_1^e\}_{\frac{3}{2}}\Big]_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes l\Big\rangle\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\delta_{da}\epsilon_{ebf}+\delta_{db}\epsilon_{afe}+\delta_{df}\epsilon_{abe}). \quad (26)$$

The possibilities of the P_{cs} component are $\frac{1}{27}$ and $\frac{5}{27}$ in the two states, respectively. However, their axial charges are 0.14 and 0.21, respectively, and much smaller than that of the proton. The interference of the contributions from different components reduce the axial charges of the P_c states.

Note that the decomposition in Eq. (24) holds for the s-wave states only. Once there is a p-wave excitation between the meson and the baryon, the above simple symmetry analysis does not apply any more.

VI. SUMMARY

The observation of the hidden-charm pentaquarks provides a new platform to study the exotic states in QCD. The axial charges of the P_c states are very sensitive to the color, spin, and flavor configurations of the light quarks. In this work, we have derived the axial charges of the hidden-charm pentaquarks in different models systematically in the framework of the chiral quark model.

We first construct the color-spin-flavor wave functions of the P_c states under the SU(2) flavor symmetry. The observed two P_c states with the isospin $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ are in either the 2_{2f} or the 2_{1f} representations. At the quark level, we use the chiral quark model to calculate the pionic couplings for the $P_c' P_c \pi_0$ interaction in the molecular, the diquark-triquark, and the diquark-diquark-antiquark models. We derive the analytical expressions of the axial charges of the P_c states with various quantum numbers.

We notice that the P_c states with the same J^P quantum numbers may have very different color-spin-flavor wave functions, which result in different inner angular momentum configurations of the light quarks and very different axial charges of the pentaquarks. In other words, the axial charges of the P_c states encode important information on their underlying structures.

The axial charges of the hidden-charm pentaquarks are generally smaller than the axial charge of the proton. Within the proton, the spin-flavor wave functions of the three light quarks are totally symmetric while their color wave function is totally antisymmetric. In contrast, the color wave function of the three light quarks within the P_c states is not necessarily antisymmetric. There exists interference between the contributions to the P_c axial charges from the three light quarks even for the s-wave P_c states without orbital excitations. Sometimes such contributions are even destructive, which renders a small axial charge of the P_c states. Hopefully, the axial charges of the hidden-charm pentaquarks may be measured through the semileptonic decays in the near future.

Acknowledgments

This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11261130311, No. 11575008 and 973 program.

Appendix

The recently observed two P_c states have the isospin $(I, I_3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. In the SU(3) flavor symmetry limit, these pentaquark states belong to the 8_f flavor representation. More details can be seen in Ref. [13]. The SU(3) invariant Lagrangian at the hadron level reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{8_f} = \mathrm{Tr}\Big(\bar{P_c}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5(g\{\partial^\mu\phi, P_c\} + f[\partial^\mu\phi, P_c])\Big), \quad (27)$$

where g and f are two independent couplings, and

$$P_c = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{P_{(1,0,-1)}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{P(0,0,-1)}{\sqrt{6}} & P_{(1,1,-1)} & P_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0)} \\ P_{(1,-1,-1)} & -\frac{P_{(1,0,-1)}}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{P_{(0,0,-1)}}{\sqrt{6}} & P_{(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},0)} \\ P_{(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-2)} & P_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-2)} & -\frac{2P_{(0,0,-1)}}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (28)$$

where the notation (I, I_3, S) represents the isospin, the third component of the isospin, and the strange number of the P_c states, respectively. After expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{L}_{eff}^{8_f} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} g[\bar{P}_{(0,1,-1)}\Sigma_z\partial_z\pi^0 P_{(1,0,-1)} + \bar{P}_{(1,0,-1)}\Sigma_z\partial_z\pi^0 P_{(0,0,-1)}] - (f+g)\bar{P}_{(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},0)}\Sigma_z\partial_z\pi^0 P_{(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},0)} \\ &+(g+f)\bar{P}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0)}\Sigma_z\partial_z\pi^0 P_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0)} + (g-f)\bar{P}_{(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-2)}\Sigma_z\partial_z\pi^0 P_{(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-2)} \\ &+(f-g)P_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-2)}\Sigma_z\partial_z\pi^0 P_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},-2)} + 2f\bar{P}_{(1,1,-1)}\Sigma_z\partial_z\pi^0 P_{(1,1,-1)} - 2f\bar{P}_{(1,-1,-1)}\Sigma_z\partial_z\pi^0 P_{(1,-1,-1)} \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

An overall factor $1/f_\pi$ is omitted. Using the two independent pionic couplings of the P_c states, we obtain g and f. Then, all the other couplings can be obtained . For the states in the 10_f flavor representation, the Lagrangian is

$$\mathscr{L}_{eff}^{10_f} = g\bar{P}_c^\nu\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\partial^\mu\phi P_{cv}. \tag{30}$$

There exists one independent coupling constant.

[1] R. Aaij *et al*. [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 072001 (2015).
[2] Z. C. Yang, Z. F. Sun, J. He, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Chin. Phys. C **36**, 6 (2012).
[3] J. J. Wu, R. Molina, E. Oset and B. S. Zou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 232001 (2010).
[4] T. Uchino, W. H. Liang and E. Oset, arXiv:1504.05726 [hep-ph].
[5] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 12, 122001 (2015).
[6] R. Chen, X. Liu, X. Q. Li and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 13, 132002 (2015).
[7] J. He, Phys. Lett. B **753**, 547 (2016).
[8] T. J. Burns, Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, no. 11, 152 (2015).
[9] G. J. Wang, L. Ma, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 3, 034031 (2016).
[10] Q. F. Lv and Y. B. Dong, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 7, 074020 (2016).
[11] Y. Shimizu, D. Suenaga and M. Harada, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 11, 114003 (2016) [arXiv:1603.02376 [hep-ph]].
[12] C. W. Shen, F. K. Guo, J. J. Xie and B. S. Zou, arXiv:1603.04672 [hep-ph].
[13] G. J. Wang, R. Chen, L. Ma, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, arXiv:1605.01337 [hep-ph].
[14] Y. Yamaguchi and E. Santopinto, arXiv:1606.08330 [hep-ph].
[15] H. Huang, C. Deng, J. Ping and F. Wang, arXiv:1510.04648 [hep-ph].
[16] P. G. Ortega, D. R. Entem and F. Fernndez, arXiv:1606.06148 [hep-ph].
[17] G. Yang and J. Ping, arXiv:1511.09053 [hep-ph].
[18] L. Roca, J. Nieves and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 9, 094003 (2015).
[19] C. W. Xiao and U.-G. Meißner, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 11, 114002 (2015).
[20] H. X. Chen, L. S. Geng, W. H. Liang, E. Oset, E. Wang and J. J. Xie, Phys. Rev. C **93**, no. 6, 065203 (2016).
[21] E. Wang, H. X. Chen, L. S. Geng, D. M. Li and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 9, 094001 (2016).
[22] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, T. G. Steele and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 17, 172001 (2015).
[23] L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Lett. B **749**, 289 (2015).
[24] R. F. Lebed, Phys. Lett. B **749**, 454 (2015).
[25] G. N. Li, X. G. He and M. He, JHEP **1512**, 128 (2015).
[26] R. Ghosh, A. Bhattacharya and B. Chakrabarti, arXiv:1508.00356 [hep-ph].
[27] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 2, 70 (2016).
[28] Z. G. Wang and T. Huang, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 1, 43 (2016).
[29] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 9, 096009 (2015).
[30] R. F. Lebed, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 11, 114030 (2015)
[31] R. Zhu and C. F. Qiao, Phys. Lett. B **756**, 259 (2016).
[32] S. M. Gerasyuta and V. I. Kochkin, arXiv:1512.04040 [hep-ph].
[33] H. X. Chen, E. L. Cui, W. Chen, T. G. Steele, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, arXiv:1602.02433 [hep-ph].
[34] V. Kopeliovich and I. Potashnikova, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 7, 074012 (2016).
[35] A. Ali, I. Ahmed, M. J. Aslam and A. Rehman, arXiv:1607.00987 [hep-ph].
[36] N. N. Scoccola, D. O. Riska and M. Rho, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 5, 051501 (2015).
[37] J. He, arXiv:1607.03223 [hep-ph].
[38] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Phys. Rept. **639**, 1 (2016).
[39] F. K. Guo, U. G. Meißner, W. Wang and Z. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 7, 071502 (2015).
[40] X. H. Liu, Q. Wang and Q. Zhao, Phys. Lett. B **757**, 231 (2016).
[41] M. Mikhasenko, arXiv:1507.06552 [hep-ph].
[42] U. G. Meißner and J. A. Oller, Phys. Lett. B **751**, 59 (2015).
[43] A. P. Szczepaniak, Phys. Lett. B **757**, 61 (2016).
[44] T. F. Carams and A. Valcarce, Phys. Lett. B **758**, 244 (2016).

[45] F. K. Guo, U. G. Meißner, J. Nieves and Z. Yang, arXiv:1605.05113 [hep-ph].
[46] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no. 8, 082003 (2016) Addendum: [Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no. 10, 109902 (2016)].
[47] M. Bayar, F. Aceti, F. K. Guo and E. Oset, arXiv:1609.04133 [hep-ph].
[48] M. P. Mendenhall *et al.* [UCNA Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **87**, no. 3, 032501 (2013).
[49] D. Mund, B. Maerkisch, M. Deissenroth, J. Krempel, M. Schumann, H. Abele, A. Petoukhov and T. Soldner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 172502 (2013).
[50] Y. R. Liu and Z. Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 035206 (2009).
[51] Z. Y. Zhang, A. Faessler, U. Straub and L. Y. Glozman, Nucl. Phys. A **578**, 573 (1994).
[52] A. Manohar and H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. B **234**, 189 (1984).
[53] J. Vijande, F. Fernandez and A. Valcarce, J. Phys. G **31**, 481 (2005).
[54] A. Valcarce, H. Garcilazo, F. Fernandez and P. Gonzalez, Rept. Prog. Phys. **68**, 965 (2005).
[55] N. Barik and B. K. Dash, Phys. Rev. D **33**, 1925 (1986).
[56] A. Girdhar, H. Dahiya and M. Randhawa, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 3, 033012 (2015).
[57] H. Dahiya and M. Randhawa, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 7, 074001 (2014).
[58] Q. Zhao, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **186**, 253 (2010).
[59] M. T. Li, W. L. Wang, Y. B. Dong and Z. Y. Zhang, arXiv:1303.4140 [nucl-th].